

AV-8245

M.A/M.Sc IIIrd Sem. 2015-16

Mathematics

Fuzzy Sets & Their Applications - I
(Suggested Solution).

(i) Let X be any set and $\mathcal{F}([0, 1])$ denote the set of all ordinary fuzzy sets defined on the universal set $[0, 1]$. A function $A: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}([0, 1])$ is said to be a fuzzy set of type 2.

(ii) $|A| = .13 + .27 + .53 + .93 + 1 = 2.86$
So nuclear cardinality of A is 2.86.

(iii) Let $x \in \beta^+ A$ then $A(x) > \beta \geq \alpha$ so $A(x) > \alpha$ and so $x \in \alpha^+ A$. Thus $\alpha^+ A \supseteq \beta^+ A$.

(iv) Let X be any set and $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ (the fuzzy power set of X) then

$$A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda(A)} \alpha A$$
 where $\Lambda(A)$ is the level set of A , \bigcup denotes the standard fuzzy union and αA is the fuzzy set defined by $\alpha A(x) = \alpha \cdot A(x)$ & $\alpha A(x) = 1 \forall x \in \alpha A$.

(v) $f^{-1}(B)$ is defined as a fuzzy set on X whose membership function is given by

$$f^{-1}(B)(x) = B(f(x)).$$

(vi) Student's choice.

(vii) Any membership grade $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is said to be a dual point of A if it satisfied the

(viii) If A is any fuzzy number then by virtue of definition of fuzzy number each α -cut ${}^\alpha A$ of A ($\alpha \in (0, 1]$) is a closed interval which is a convex set, it follows that A is a convex fuzzy set.

(ix) Let $R(x, x)$ and $Q(y, y)$ denote two binary relations (on X and Y respectively) and $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. h is said to be a strong homomorphism if

$$(a) \quad \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in R \Rightarrow \langle h(x_1), h(x_2) \rangle \in Q$$

$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X$

$$\& (b) \quad \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \in Q \Rightarrow \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in R$$

$\forall y_1, y_2 \in Y$ where $x_1 \in h^{-1}(y_1)$ and $x_2 \in h^{-1}(y_2)$.

$$(x) \quad w_i(0, 1) = \sup \{ x \in [0, 1] \mid i(0, x) \leq 1 \}$$

$$= \sup \{ x \in [0, 1] \mid 0 \leq 1 \}$$

$$= 1.$$

2(a). The student is supposed to ~~be~~ list and describe important features of the paradigm shift introduced by fuzzy sets. A few features are listed below:

- (i) It allows measurement uncertainties and observational errors.
- (ii) Capability of managing complexity and controlling cost.

- (iii) Greater expressive power
- (iv) Use of natural language
- (v) Human friendliness.

2 (b) $\therefore \forall x \in X$ we have

$$\max(A(x), B(x)) = A(x) + B(x) - \min(A(x), B(x))$$

we can conclude that

$$(A \cup B)(x) = A(x) + B(x) - (A \cap B)(x).$$

Hence $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|.$

3 (a) (i) $\forall x \in \alpha^+(A \cap B) \iff (A \cap B)(x) > \alpha$

$$\iff \min(A(x), B(x)) > \alpha$$

$$\iff A(x) > \alpha \text{ and } B(x) > \alpha$$

$$\iff x \in \alpha^+ A \text{ and } x \in \alpha^+ B$$

$$\iff x \in \alpha^+ A \cap \alpha^+ B$$

while $x \in \alpha^+(A \cup B) \iff (A \cup B)(x) > \alpha$

$$\iff \max(A(x), B(x)) > \alpha$$

$$\iff A(x) > \alpha \text{ or } B(x) > \alpha$$

$$\iff x \in \alpha^+ A \text{ or } x \in \alpha^+ B$$

$$\iff x \in (\alpha^+ A \cup \alpha^+ B)$$

the results follow.

(ii) Students may compute $\alpha(\bar{A})$ and show that it is not equal to $\overline{\alpha(A)}$.

3 (b) For every $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha A$

where αA is a fuzzy set defined by

$$\alpha A(x) = \alpha \cdot A(x) \text{ and } \alpha A(x) = 1 \forall x \in \alpha A.$$

Here \cup stands for standard fuzzy union.

Proof: Choose any $x \in X$ and let $A(x) = a$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha A \right) (x) &= \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha A(x) \\ &= \max \left[\sup_{\alpha \in [0,a]} \alpha A(x), \sup_{\alpha \in [a,1]} \alpha A(x) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now for each $\alpha \in (a, 1]$ we have $A(x) = a < \alpha$ and therefore $\alpha A(x) = 0$. On the other hand for each $\alpha \in [0, a]$ we have $A(x) = a \geq \alpha$ and so $\alpha A(x) = \alpha$.

Hence

$$\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha A \right) (x) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0,a]} \alpha = a = A(x)$$

An ~~thin~~ argument holds for each $x \in X$ we conclude the result.

4. (i) If $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$ then $f(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)(y) = 0$ and $(\bigcup_{i \in I} f A_i)(y) = 0$ so the result follows in this case.

Now if $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ then

$$f\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)(y) = \sup_{x|f(x)=y} \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i(x)\right) = \sup_{x|f(x)=y} \left(\sup_{i \in I} (A_i(x))\right)$$

$$= \sup_{i \in I} \left(\sup_{x | f(x)=y} A_i(x) \right)$$

$$= \bigcup_{i \in I} \left(\sup_{x | f(x)=y} A_i(x) \right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (f(A_i))(y)$$

$$\text{Hence } f\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} f(A_i).$$

(ii) We have

$$\inf_{i \in I} A_i(x) \leq A_j(x) \quad \forall j \in I$$

$$\text{so } \sup_{x \in X | f(x)=y} \left(\inf_{i \in I} A_i(x) \right) \leq \sup_{x \in X | f(x)=y} (A_j(x)) \quad \text{for each } y \in Y$$

$$\text{i.e. } \sup_{x \in X | f(x)=y} \left(\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right)(x) \right) \leq \sup_{x \in X | f(x)=y} (A_j(x)) \quad \text{for each } y \in Y$$

$$\text{i.e. } f\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right)(y) \leq (f(A_j))(y) \quad \text{for each } y \in Y$$

$$\text{i.e. } f\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right)(y) \leq \inf_{j \in J} f(A_j)(y) \quad \text{for each } y \in Y$$

$$\text{i.e. } f\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right)(y) \leq \bigcap_{j \in J} f(A_j)(y) \quad \text{for each } y \in Y$$

$$\text{Hence } f\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} f(A_i)$$

(iii) For each $x \in X$ we have

$$(f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i\right))(x) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (f^{-1}(B_i))(x)$$

(6)

$$= \sup_{i \in I} (f^{-1}(B_i)(x))$$

$$= \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(B_i) \right) (x)$$

$$\text{Hence } f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i\right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(B_i).$$

(iv) For each $x \in X$ we have

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i\right)(x) = \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i\right)(f(x))$$

$$= \inf_{i \in I} B_i(f(x))$$

$$= \inf_{i \in I} (f^{-1}(B_i)(x))$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} f^{-1}(B_i) \right) (x)$$

$$\text{Hence } f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i\right) = \bigcap_{i \in I} f^{-1}(B_i).$$

(5) Statement: Let c be a function from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$.

Then c is a fuzzy complement (involution) if and only if there exists a continuous function

$g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (the set of real numbers) such that $g(0) = 0$ g is strictly increasing and

$$c(a) = g^{-1}(g(1) - g(a)) \quad \forall a \in [0, 1] \quad (1)$$

Proof: First we assume that the function g is given that satisfies the mentioned conditions, and show that c satisfies

- (ii) $\forall a, b \in [0, 1] \quad a \leq b \Rightarrow c(a) \geq c(b)$
- (iii) c is a continuous function
- (iv) c is involutive i.e. $c(c(a)) = a \quad \forall a \in [0, 1]$

In fact we need to show (ii) and (iv) hold so that using the result that any function c that satisfies (ii) & (iv) ~~and~~ above must satisfy (i) & (iii) also we conclude this part.

We can now construct pseudoinverse of g denoted by $g^{(-1)}$ as a function from \mathbb{R} to $[0, 1]$ given by

$$g^{(-1)}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a \in (-\infty, 0) \\ g^{-1}(a) & \text{for } a \in [0, g(1)] \\ 1 & \text{for } a \in (g(1), \infty) \end{cases}$$

where g^{-1} is the ordinary inverse of g from $[0, g(1)]$ to $[0, 1]$. (For this part g^{-1} exists as g is strictly increasing). Let $c(a) = g^{-1}(g(1) - g(a))$ (as given).

For $a, b \in [0, 1]$ i) $a < b$ then $g(a) < g(b)$ (as g is strictly increasing) so $g(1) - g(a) > g(1) - g(b)$ & consequently

$$c(a) = g^{-1}[g(1) - g(a)] > g^{-1}[g(1) - g(b)] = c(b)$$

i.e. $c(a) > c(b)$ This shows (ii)

Next by show (iv). For any $a \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} c(c(a)) &= g^{-1}[g(1) - g(c(a))] = g^{-1}[g(1) - g(g^{-1}(g(1) - g(a)))] \\ &= g^{-1}[g(1) - g(1) + g(a)] = g^{-1}(g(a)) = a \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the converse part. To start with, $\textcircled{8}$
 we mention that "A continuous fuzzy involutive complement
 has one and only one equilibrium point." Let e_c be the
 equilibrium point of c then $e_c \in (0, 1)$. Let $h: [0, e_c] \rightarrow$
 $[0, b]$ be given by $h(a) = \frac{ba}{e_c}$ then h is continuous
 strictly increasing bijective map such that $h(0) = 0$
 and $h(e_c) = b$ where b is any fixed real number

Define $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(a) = \begin{cases} h(a) & \text{if } a \in [0, e_c] \\ 2b - h(ca) & \text{if } a \in (e_c, 1] \end{cases}$$

Then $g(0) = h(0) = 0$. Since c and h are continuous
 it follows that g is continuous and as h is strictly
 increasing it follows that g is also strictly increasing
 Next we observe that the following function works
 as pseudoinverse for g .

$$g^{(-1)}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \in (-\infty, 0) \\ h^{-1}(a) & \text{if } a \in [0, b] \\ c(h^{-1}(2b-a)) & \text{if } a \in [b, 2b] \\ 1 & \text{if } a \in (2b, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Finally we show that with this set up equation

$\textcircled{1}$ given in the statement of the theorem holds.

For any $a \in [0, 1]$ if $a \in [0, e_c]$ then $g^{-1}(g(1) - g(a))$
 $= g^{-1}(g(1) - h(a)) = g^{-1}(2b - h(a)) = c(h^{-1}(2b - (2b - h(a))))$
 $= c(a)$ while if $a \in (e_c, 1]$ then $g^{-1}(g(1) - g(a))$
 $= g^{-1}(2b - (2b - h(ca))) = g^{-1}(h(ca)) = h^{-1}(h(ca))$

Que 6. To prove the result we need to show that for some $w > 0$ (if required under limiting conditions) $i_w(a, b)$ equals to $i_{\min}(a, b)$ and also for some $w > 0$ $i_w(a, b)$ equals to $\min(a, b)$. First we find w such that $i_w(a, b) = i_{\min}(a, b)$. Since

$$i_w(a, b) = 1 - \min\left(1, [(1-a)^w + (1-b)^w]^{\frac{1}{w}}\right) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

We see that when $a = 1$ $i_w(1, b) = b$ and when $b = 1$ $i_w(a, 1) = a$ independent of the choice of w . Also when neither $a = 1$ nor $b = 1$ we have for $w \rightarrow 0$

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow 0} [(1-a)^w + (1-b)^w]^{\frac{1}{w}} \rightarrow \infty \text{ hence}$$

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow 0} i_w(a, b) = 0. \quad \forall a, b \in [0, 1].$$

Now we proceed for the second part. To start we show $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \min\left[1, (a^w + b^w)^{\frac{1}{w}}\right] = \max(a, b) \quad \forall a, b \in [0, 1]$

This result is clear when $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and when $a = b$.

So let $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ & $a \neq b$ and $\min\left[1, (a^w + b^w)^{\frac{1}{w}}\right] = (a^w + b^w)^{\frac{1}{w}}$ then we need to show that

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} (a^w + b^w)^{\frac{1}{w}} = \max(a, b)$$

Since $a \neq b$ we may assume first that $a < b$ (the part $b < a$ can be proved on similar lines).

Set $Q = (a^w + b^w)^{\frac{1}{w}}$. Then

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \log Q = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a^w + b^w)}{w} \quad \text{Using L'Hospital's rule,}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \log Q &= \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^w \log a + b^w \log b}{a^w + b^w} \\ &= \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^w \log a + \log b}{\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^w + 1} = \log b \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} Q = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} (a^w + b^w)^{\frac{1}{w}} = b = \max(a, b)$

It still remains to be shown that the result is true when min is 1. In this case

$$(a^w + b^w)^{\frac{1}{w}} \geq 1$$

or $a^w + b^w \geq 1 \quad \forall w \in (0, \infty)$.

When $w \rightarrow \infty$ the last inequality holds if $a=1$ or $b=1$

Hence

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \min [1, (a^w + b^w)^{\frac{1}{w}}] = \max(a, b) \quad \forall a, b \in [0, 1]$$

Now as $a, b \in [0, 1]$ $1-a, 1-b \in [0, 1]$ & so we have

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \min [1, \{(1-a)^w + (1-b)^w\}^{\frac{1}{w}}] = \max [1-a, 1-b]$$

Hence $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} i_w(a, b) = 1 - \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \min [1, \{(1-a)^w + (1-b)^w\}^{\frac{1}{w}}]$

$$= 1 - \max [1-a, 1-b]$$

$$= \min(a, b)$$

Que 7. (i) We have

$$\text{MIN} [A, \text{MIN}(B, C)] z = \sup_{z = \min(x, y)} \min [A(x), \text{MIN}(B, C)(y)]$$

$$= \sup_{z = \min(x, y)} \min [A(x), \sup_{y = \min(u, v)} \min [B(u), C(v)]]$$

$$= \sup_{z = \min(x, y)} \sup_{y = \min(u, v)} \min [A(x), B(u), C(v)]$$

$$= \sup_{z = \min(x, u, v)} \min [A(x), B(u), C(v)]$$

$$= \sup_{z = \min(x, v)} \sup_{u = \min(x, u)} \min [A(x), B(u), C(v)]$$

$$= \sup_{z = \min(x, v)} \min \left[\sup_{u = \min(x, u)} \min [A(x), B(u)], C(v) \right]$$

$$= \sup_{z = \min(x, v)} \min [\text{MIN}(A, B)(x), C(v)]$$

$$= \text{MIN} [\text{MIN}(A, B), C] (z)$$

$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}$

Hence MIN operation is associative. The proof

for MAX operation is similar [$z = \min(x, y)$ etc ~~are~~ need to be replaced by $z = \max(x, y)$ etc. only],

(ii) $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\text{MIN} [A, \text{MAX}(A, B)] (z) = \sup_{z = \min(x, y)} \min [A(x), \text{MAX}(A, B)(y)]$$

$$= \sup_{z = \min(x, y)} \min [A(x), \sup_{y = \max(u, v)} \min [A(u), B(v)]]$$

$$= \sup_{z = \min(x, \max(u, v))} \min [A(x), A(u), B(v)]$$

Let M denote the right hand side of the last equation.

Since B is a fuzzy number, $\exists v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$B(v_0) = 1$. By $z = \min [z, \max(z, v_0)]$ we have

$$M \geq \min [A(z), A(z), B(v_0)] = A(z)$$

On the other hand since $z = \min [x, \max(u, v)]$, we have

$$\min(x, u) \leq z \leq x \leq \max(x, u)$$

As fuzzy numbers are convex fuzzy sets we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &\geq \min [A[\min(x, u)], A[\max(x, u)]] \\ &= \min [A(x), A(u)] \\ &\geq \min [A(x), A(u), B(v)] \end{aligned}$$

Thus $M = A(z)$ and consequently $\text{MIN} [A, \text{MAX}(B, C)] = A$. The proof of the other absorption law is similar.

Que 8 (9) To find transitive max-min closure for the given fuzzy relation $R(X, X)$, we will use the following algorithm with 3 steps

$$1 \quad R' = R \cup (R \circ R)$$

2 If $R' \neq R$ make $R = R'$ and go to step 1

3 Stop if $R' = R$ to get $R_T = R'$

($R \circ R$ operation uses max min composition)

A routine and simple calculation shows that after 3

iterations $R_T = R'$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} .7 & .5 & .5 & .5 \\ 0 & .4 & .8 & 1 \\ 0 & .4 & .4 & .4 \\ 0 & .4 & .8 & .4 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Here $R_{T(i)}$ stands for i -transitive closure of the fuzzy relation R on X^2 , and inductively $R^{(n)}$ is defined as $R^{(n)} = R \circ R^{(n-1)}$ with $R^{(1)} = R$.

We know that for this composition the fuzzy relation E on X^2 defined by

$$E(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

acts as identity. So reflexivity of R gives $E \subseteq R$ [R is reflexive means $R(x, x) = 1 \forall x \in X$].

Now $R = E \circ R \subseteq R \circ R = R^{(2)}$. Thus $R \subseteq R^{(n)}$.

For any $x, y \in X$ if $x = y$ then $R^{(n)}(x, x) = R^{(n-1)}(x, x) = 1$
 while if $x \neq y$ then

$$R^{(n)}(x, y) = \sup_{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}} i [R(x, z_1) \cdot R(z_1, z_2) \cdot \dots \cdot R(z_{n-1}, y)]$$

Since $|X| = n$ the sequence $x = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n = y$ of $n+1$ elements must contain at least two equal elements. Assume $z_k = z_n$ where $k < n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & i [R(x, z_1), \dots, R(z_{k-1}, z_k) \dots R(z_n, z_{n+1}) \dots; R(z_{n-1}, y)] \\ & \leq i [R(x, z_1) \dots R(z_{k-1}, z_k), R(z_n, z_{n+1}), \dots, R(z_{n-1}, y)] \\ & \leq R^{(k)}(x, y) \quad k \leq n-1 \\ & \leq \cancel{R^{(n-1)}(x, y)} \quad R^{(n-1)}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \forall x, y \in X \quad R^{(n)}(x, y) \leq R^{(n-1)}(x, y)$$

\therefore consequently $R^{(n)} \subseteq R^{(n-1)}$. It follows that
 $R^{(n)} = R^{(n-1)}$ and, therefore, $R_{T(U)} = R^{(n-1)}$ as
 desired.

